



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,789	03/22/2004	Hai-Feng Wang	MS1-0606USC1	2367

22801 7590 07/18/2008  
LEE & HAYES PLLC  
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500  
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER
----------

SANDERS, AARON J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2168

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/806,789	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AARON SANDERS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron Sanders.

(3) John Fain.

(2) S.R. Pannala.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 37, 72 and 78.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. 6,584,464, U.S. 6,006,225, and U.S. 6,675,159.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave the Examiner a brief overview of the claimed invention. The Examiner recommended amending the claims to specifically claim the type of iterative training recited in the specification in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections. The Examiner maintained the objection to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.52(b)(1)(ii).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required